

On Invariant volumes of codimension-one Anosov flows and the Verjovsky conjecture

Masayuki ASAOKA *

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University
and UMPA, ENS de Lyon

May 19, 2008

Abstract

We show that any topologically transitive codimension-one Anosov flow on a closed manifold is topologically equivalent to a smooth Anosov flow that preserves a smooth volume. By a classical theorem due to Verjovsky, any higher dimensional codimension-one Anosov flow is topologically transitive. Recently, Simić showed that any higher dimensional codimension-one Anosov flow that preserves a smooth volume is topologically equivalent to the suspension of an Anosov diffeomorphism. Therefore, our result gives a complete classification of codimension-one Anosov flow up to topological equivalence in higher dimensions.

1 Introduction

In [11], Livšic and Sinaĭ showed that an Anosov flow $\Phi = \{\Phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on a closed manifold preserves an absolutely continuous measure with respect to the Lebesgue measure if and only if $\det(D\Phi^T)_p = 1$ for any periodic point p with period T . In [12], de la Llave, Marco, and Moriyón showed the corresponding result for smooth volumes. Their results give a characterization of Anosov flows with C^∞ invariant volume. However, the following natural question is still open and important.

Question 1.1. *Which Anosov flow is topologically equivalent to a volume preserving one?*

We answer this question for codimension Anosov flows. That is,

Main Theorem. *Any topologically transitive codimension-one Anosov flow on a closed manifold is topologically equivalent to a C^∞ Anosov flow with a C^∞ invariant volume.*

*Partially supported by JSPS PostDoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad

It is well-known that any Anosov flow that preserves a volume is topologically transitive. Therefore, the above theorem is optimal for codimension-one case. Remark that there exists a three-dimensional Anosov flow that is not topologically transitive (see [6]).

When the dimension of the manifold is greater than three, the main theorem yields an important conclusion. In 1970's, Verjovsky conjectured that any higher dimensional codimension-one Anosov flow should be a classical one. That is,

The Verjovsky Conjecture. *Any codimension-one Anosov flow on a closed manifold of dimension greater than three is topologically equivalent to the suspension flow of a toral automorphism.*¹

We recall two results on codimension-one Anosov flows on higher dimensional manifolds. Let M be a closed manifold of dimension greater than three and Φ be a C^∞ codimension-one Anosov flow on M .

Theorem 1.2 (Verjovsky [16], see also [2]). *Φ is topologically transitive.*

Theorem 1.3 (Simić [15]). *If Φ preserves a C^∞ volume, then it is topologically equivalent to the suspension flow of a hyperbolic toral automorphism.*

With their results, the main theorem implies

Corollary 1.4. *The Verjovsky conjecture is true.*

As far as the author's knowledge, no counterexample is known to the analogy of the main theorem for higher codimension. So, we pose

Conjecture 1.5. *Any topologically transitive Anosov flow is topologically equivalent to a volume preserving one.*

The main ingredient of the proof of the main theorem is a generalization of Cawley's deformation of Anosov systems. In [4], Cawley gave a method to deform a two-dimensional Anosov diffeomorphism into another one that has the desired expansions along the stable foliation and the unstable foliation. In Section 3, we generalize the Radon-Nikodym realization theorem, which played the central role in her method, to codimension-one Anosov flows. It allows us to deform an Anosov flow into another one that preserves a volume in some sense, but the deformation destroys the smoothness of the flow. So, we need more effort to obtain a smooth flow. It is done in Section 4.

Acknowledgments This paper was written while the author stayed at Unité de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon. He would like to thank the members of UMPA, especially Professor Étienne Ghys, for their warm hospitality. The author would also like to thank Shigenori Matsumoto, who gave many suggestions to improve the paper. Especially, he pointed out that Theorem 2.1 is due to Hart and he gave a simpler proof of Lemma 2.4.

¹Ghys [7] was the first literature that mentioned the conjecture. But, he has pointed out that Verjovsky had proposed the conjecture in 1970's.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations

By $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, we denote the set of integers and real numbers respectively. For an integer $r \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we say a map between C^∞ manifolds is of class $C^{r+\alpha}$ if it is of class C^r and all r -th partial derivatives are α -Hölder continuous. We say a map is of class C^{r+} if it is of class $C^{r+\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Let $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ be a finite-dimensional vector bundle over a Hausdorff space B and g be a continuous metric on E . We denote the norm corresponding to g by $\|\cdot\|_g$ and the fiber $\pi^{-1}(p)$ of $p \in B$ by $E(p)$. For a linear map F between fibers $E(p)$ and $E(q)$, we denote the determinant of F with respect to the metric g by $\det_g F$. We define the operator norm $\|F\|_g$ of F by

$$\|F\|_g = \sup \{ \|F(v)\|_g \mid v \in E(p), \|v\|_g \leq 1 \}.$$

2.2 Regularity of foliations

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a continuous foliation on a manifold M . We denote the leaf that contains $p \in M$ by $\mathcal{F}(p)$. For an open subset U of M , let $\mathcal{F}|_U$ be the foliation on U such that $(\mathcal{F}|_U)(p)$ is the connected component of $\mathcal{F}(p) \cap U$ containing $p \in M$. A coordinate $\varphi = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on U is called a *foliation coordinate* of $\mathcal{F}$ if $x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n$ are constant functions on each leaf of $\mathcal{F}|_U$, where m is the dimension of $\mathcal{F}$. A foliation is of class C^{r+} if it is covered by C^{r+} foliation coordinates. We denote the tangent bundle of M by TM . If $\mathcal{F}$ is a C^1 foliation, then we denote the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{F}$ by $T\mathcal{F}$. For a homeomorphism h from M to another manifold M' , we define a foliation $H(\mathcal{F})$ on M' by $H(\mathcal{F})(p) = H(\mathcal{F}(H^{-1}(p)))$.

In general, if a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is of class C^{r+} , then $T\mathcal{F}$ is of class $C^{(r-1)+}$. However, the following theorem due to Hart implies that we may replace $\mathcal{F}$ in its C^{r+} -equivalence class so that $T\mathcal{F}$ is of class C^{r+} .

Theorem 2.1 (Hart [8, Theorem B]). *For any $C^{r+\alpha}$ -foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a C^∞ closed manifold M , there exists a $C^{r+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism H of M such that $T(H(\mathcal{F})) = DH(T\mathcal{F})$ is a $C^{r+\alpha}$ subbundle of TM . Moreover, we can choose the diffeomorphism H so that it is arbitrary C^r -close to the identity map.*

We give a short proof in Appendix A. The theorem has an immediate corollary, which is important for our proof of the main theorem.

Corollary 2.2. *Let M_1 be a C^{1+} closed manifold and $\mathcal{L}$ an oriented C^{1+} one-dimensional foliation on M_1 . Then, M_1 admits a C^∞ -structure that is compatible with the C^{1+} -structure of M_1 and such that $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by a C^{1+} vector field. $\square$*

2.3 C^∞ invariant volumes

We show the following proposition in this subsection.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X_0 be a C^{1+} vector fields on a C^∞ closed manifold M . If the flow generated by X_0 preserves a Hölder continuous volume, then X_0 can be C^1 -approximated by a C^∞ vector field that generates a flow with a C^∞ invariant volume.*

For a C^1 vector field X on M and a continuous metric g on TM , we define a function $\operatorname{div}_g X$ on M by

$$\operatorname{div}_g X(p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \log \det_g D\Phi^t(p)$$

if the limit exists for any $p \in M$, where Φ is the C^1 flow generated by X . Remark that the formula

$$\operatorname{div}_{e^h \cdot g} X = (\dim M) \cdot Xh + \operatorname{div}_g X \quad (2.1)$$

holds when $\operatorname{div} X$ is well-defined and h is differentiable along X .

Lemma 2.4. *Let n be the dimension of M . For any $C^{1+\alpha}$ vector field X and any C^∞ metric g on TM , the function $\operatorname{div}_g X$ is well-defined and of class C^α . Moreover, if a sequence $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of $C^{1+\alpha}$ vector fields converges to X with respect to the $C^{1+\alpha}$ -topology, then $\{\operatorname{div}_g X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ converges to $\operatorname{div}_g X$ with respect to the C^α -topology.*

Proof. The metric g induces a C^∞ volume form ω_g on M . Fix $p \in M$. By Moser's lemma, there exists a C^∞ coordinate $\varphi = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on an open neighborhood U of p such that $(\varphi^{-1})^* \omega_g$ is the standard volume form $dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$. We define functions $a_1, \dots, a_n$ on $\varphi(U)$ by

$$D\varphi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

The standard argument gives a formula

$$\operatorname{div}_g X = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_i}$$

even if X is only of class $C^{1+\alpha}$. Hence, $\operatorname{div}_g X$ is a well-defined C^α function and it depends continuously on X . $\square$

Now, we prove Proposition 2.3. Let X_0 be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ vector field on M that preserves a Hölder continuous volume and Φ_0 be the $C^{1+\alpha}$ flow generated by X_0 . Fix a C^∞ metric g_0 on TM . There exists a C^α function h_0 such that $\det_{e^{h_0} \cdot g_0} D\Phi_0^t(p) = 1$ for any $p \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 2.4, $\operatorname{div}_{g_0} X$ is well-defined and of class C^α . Since

$$(\dim M) \cdot (h_0 \circ \Phi_0^t(p) - h_0(p)) + \log \det_{g_0} D\Phi_0^t(p) = \log \det_{e^{h_0} \cdot g_0} D\Phi_0^t(p) = 0,$$

h_0 is differentiable along X_0 and

$$(\dim M) \cdot X_0 h_0 = -\operatorname{div}_{g_0} X_0. \quad (2.2)$$

The standard construction by using a mollifier gives a sequence $\{h_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of C^∞ functions on M such that

$$\|h_k - h_0\|_{C^\alpha} + \|X_0 h_k - X_0 h_0\|_{C^\alpha} < \frac{1}{k},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{C^\alpha}$ is the C^α -norm of a function. Take a sequence $\{Y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of C^∞ vector fields on M that converges to X_0 with respect to the $C^{1+\alpha}$ -topology. By Lemma 2.4, for any fixed k , $\operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} Y_{k'}$ converges to $\operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} X_0$ as a C^α function when k' tends to infinity. Hence, by taking a subsequence of $\{Y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ if it is necessary, we may assume that

$$\|\operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} Y_k - \operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} X_0\|_{C^\alpha} < \frac{1}{k}$$

By the equations (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} Y_k = (\operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} Y_k - \operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} X_0) + (\dim M) \cdot (X_0 h_k - X_0 h_0)$$

and the right-hand term converges to 0.

Now, we mimic the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [1] due to Arbeito and Matheus. We fix a point $p_* \in M$. Let Δ_k and $\operatorname{grad}_k f$ be the Laplacian and the gradient flow of a C^∞ function f with respect to the metric $e^{h_k} \cdot g_0$. Since the integral of $-\operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} Y_k$ over M is zero, the partial differential equation $\Delta_k f_k = -\operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} Y_k$ has a unique C^∞ solution f_k with $f_k(p_*) = 0$ and the sequence $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ converges to 0 in the $C^{2+\alpha}$ -topology. The vector field $X_k = Y_k + \operatorname{grad}_k f_k$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} X_k = \operatorname{div}_{e^{h_k} \cdot g_0} Y_k + \Delta_k f_k = 0$$

and the sequence $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ converges to X_0 with respect to the $C^{1+\alpha}$ -topology.

2.4 Anosov flows

Let M be a C^∞ manifold and Φ a C^{1+} flow without stationary points. By $T\Phi$, we denote the one-dimensional subbundle of TM that is tangent to the orbits of Φ . We say Φ is *Anosov* if there exists a continuous $D\Phi$ -invariant splitting $TM = T\Phi \oplus E^{ss} \oplus E^{uu}$, a continuous metric g on TM , and a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\max \{ \|D\Phi^t|_{E^{ss}(p)}\|_g, \|D\Phi^{-t}|_{E^{uu}(p)}\|_g \} < \exp(-\lambda t) \quad (2.3)$$

for any $p \in M$ and any $t > 0$. The splitting $TM = T\Phi \oplus E^{ss} \oplus E^{uu}$ is called an *Anosov splitting* of Φ . It is known that the splitting is Hölder continuous and the subbundles E^{ss} , E^{uu} , $T\Phi \oplus E^{ss}$, $T\Phi \oplus E^{uu}$ are uniquely integrable. The corresponding foliations are called the *strong stable foliation*, the *strong unstable foliation*, the *weak stable foliation*, and the *weak unstable foliation*, respectively.

We say Φ is a *codimension-one* Anosov flow if E^{uu} is one-dimensional. It is known that if Φ is a C^∞ codimension-one Anosov flow, then $T\Phi \oplus E^{ss}$ is of class C^{1+} . See *e.g.* [9, Corollary 19.1.12].

2.5 The Gibbs measure for subshift of finite types

In this subsection, we review some basic results on symbolic dynamics. Fix a positive integer k_* . Let Σ be the set of maps from $\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \geq 0\}$ to $\{1, \dots, k_*\}$. We define a distance d_Σ on Σ by

$$d_\Sigma(\xi, \xi') = \begin{cases} 0 & (\xi = \xi') \\ \exp(\inf\{m \geq 0 \mid \xi(m) \neq \xi'(m)\}) & (\xi \neq \xi'). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

We also define *the shift map* σ by $\sigma(\xi)(m) = \xi(m+1)$. The metric space (Σ, d_Σ) is compact and the map σ is Lipschitz continuous.

Fix a $(k_* \times k_*)$ -matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose that A is *mixing*, that is, there exists $m_0 \geq 1$ such that all entries of A^{m_0} are positive. Let Σ_A be the set of maps $\xi \in \Sigma$ such that $a_{\xi(k+1)\xi(k)} = 1$ for any $k \geq 0$. It is a σ -invariant closed subspace of Σ . By σ_A , we denote the restriction of σ to Σ_A . We call the pair (Σ_A, σ_A) *the subshift of finite type* associated with the matrix A .

Let $\pi_{A,m}$ be the natural projection from Σ_A to the set of maps from $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ to $\{1, \dots, k_*\}$. Put $\Sigma_{A,m} = \pi_{A,m}(\Sigma_A)$. For any given Hölder continuous function g on Σ_A , we define *the topological pressure* $P_{\sigma_A}(g)$ of g with respect to σ_A by

$$P_{\sigma_A}(g) = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left[\log \sum_{\xi_m \in \Sigma_{A,m}} \exp \left(\sup_{\xi \in \pi_{A,m}^{-1}(\xi_m)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g \circ \sigma_A^j(\xi) \right) \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

It satisfies

$$P_{\sigma_A}(g_1) - P_{\sigma_A}(g_2) \leq P_{\sigma_A}(0) \cdot \sup_{\xi \in \Sigma_A} (g_1(\xi) - g_2(\xi)), \quad (2.6)$$

$$P_{\sigma_A^m} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_1 \circ \sigma_A^j \right) = m \cdot P_{\sigma_A}(g_1) \quad (2.7)$$

for any Hölder continuous functions g_1, g_2 , and any integer $m \geq 1$. Since A is mixing, we can see that $P_{\sigma_A}(0)$ is positive.

Lemma 2.5. *Let g be a Hölder continuous function on Σ_A such that*

$$\inf_{\xi \in \Sigma_A} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g \circ \sigma_A^j(\xi) > 0$$

for some $m \geq 1$. Then, there exists $\rho = \rho(g) > 0$ such that $P_{\sigma_A}(-\rho \cdot g) = 0$.

Proof. Put $g_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g \circ \sigma_A^j$. By the assumption, there exists $C > 1$ such that $C^{-1} \leq g_m(\xi) \leq C$ for any $\xi \in \Sigma_A$. By (2.7), we have $P_{\sigma_A^m}(-\rho \cdot g_m) = m \cdot P_{\sigma_A}(-\rho \cdot g)$ for any $\rho > 0$. The inequality (2.6) implies

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{P_{\sigma_A^m}(-\rho_2 \cdot g_m) - P_{\sigma_A^m}(-\rho_1 \cdot g_m)}{P_{\sigma_A^m}(0) \cdot (\rho_1 - \rho_2)} \leq C$$

for any $\rho_1 > \rho_2 > 0$. Hence, the function $\rho \mapsto P_{\sigma_A}(-\rho \cdot g)$ is continuous, strictly decreasing, and unbounded from the below. Since $P_{\sigma_A}(0) > 0$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $P_{\sigma_A}(-\rho \cdot g) = 0$. $\square$

Let X, Y be topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a covering map. For a Borel measure μ of Y , there exists a unique Borel measure ν of X that satisfies $\nu(A \cap U) = \mu(h(A \cap U))$ for any Borel subset A of X and any open subset U such that $h|_U$ is a homeomorphism onto its image. We call the measure ν *local push-forward* of μ and denote it by $\mu \circ h$. Notice that σ_A is a local homeomorphism, and hence, we can define $\mu \circ \sigma_A$ for any Borel measure μ on Σ_A .

Theorem 2.6. *For any given Hölder function g on Σ_A , there exists a Borel probability measure μ_g and a positive Hölder continuous function h_g on Σ_A such that*

1. μ_g is non-atomic and positive on each non-empty open subset of Σ_A ,
2. the measure $h_g \cdot \mu_g$ is σ_A -invariant and ergodic, and
3. for any $\xi \in \Sigma_A$,

$$\log \frac{d(\mu_g \circ \sigma_A)}{d\mu_g}(\xi) = -g(\xi) + P_{\sigma_A}(g)$$

Proof. See Chapter 1 of [3]. $\square$

3 The Radon-Nikodym Realization Theorem

Fix a C^∞ n -dimensional closed manifold M and a C^∞ codimension-one topologically transitive Anosov flow Φ on M . Let $TM = T\Phi \oplus E^{ss} \oplus E^{uu}$ be the Anosov splitting of Φ . By $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$, $\mathcal{F}^{uu}$, $\mathcal{F}^s$, and $\mathcal{F}^u$, we denote the strong stable foliation, the strong unstable foliation, the weak stable foliation, and the weak unstable foliation of Φ , respectively. Fix a C^∞ Riemannian metric g on M that satisfying the equation (2.3) for some $\lambda > 0$. We denote the distance induced from g by d . Then, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log d(\Phi^t(q_1), \Phi^t(q_2)) \leq -\lambda \quad (3.1)$$

for any $q_1 \in M$ and $q_2 \in \mathcal{F}^{ss}(q_1)$.

Let $B(p, \epsilon)$ be the open ϵ -ball centered at $p \in M$. For $p \in M$, $\delta > 0$, and $\sigma = s, u, ss, uu$, let $\mathcal{F}_\delta^\sigma(p)$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma(p) \cap B(p, \delta)$ that contains p . There exists $0 < \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\delta_2}^\sigma(p)$ is a disk for any $p \in M$ and any $\sigma = s, u, ss, uu$, and the holonomy map $h_{pq} : \mathcal{F}_{\delta_1}^{uu}(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\delta_2}^{uu}(p)$ of the foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$ is well-defined for any $p \in M$ and $q \in B(p, \delta_0)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(\Phi)$ be the set of families $\nu = \{\nu_p\}_{p \in M}$ of measures that satisfy the following properties:

1. ν_p is a Borel measure on $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$ that is locally finite, non-atomic, and positive on each non-empty open subset of $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$.
2. If $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) = \mathcal{F}^{uu}(q)$, then $\nu_p = \nu_q$.
3. The Radon-Nikodym derivative $d(\nu_p \circ h_{pq})/d\nu_q(q)$ at q is well-defined for any $p \in M$ and $q \in B(p, \delta_0)$, and it is Hölder continuous with respect to p and q .

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem, which is a keystone of the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (The Radon-Nikodym Realization Theorem). *For any Hölder continuous positive function f on M , there exists $\nu = \{\nu_p\}_{p \in M} \in \mathcal{M}(\Phi)$ and $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$\log \left(\frac{d(\nu_{\Phi^t(p)} \circ \Phi^t)}{d\nu_p} \right) (p) = \rho \cdot \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) d\tau$$

for any $p \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.2. The original version of the theorem is shown by Cawley [4] for two dimensional Anosov diffeomorphisms. Our proof follows her argument, but we construct a family of measures on leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{uu}$ directly, not only a transverse measure class of $\mathcal{F}^s$.

3.1 Markov partitions

We call a quadruple $R = (U, \varphi, R^s, R^u)$ a *rectangle* for Φ if

- U is an open subset of M ,
- φ is a continuous coordinate on U satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times y) &\subset \mathcal{F}^s(\varphi^{-1}(w, x, y)), \\ \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \times x \times \mathbb{R}) &\subset \mathcal{F}^u(\varphi^{-1}(w, x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

for any $(w, x, y) \in \varphi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}$, and

- R^s and R^u are compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ respectively, and $[0, 1] \times R^s \times R^u \subset \varphi(U)$.

For a rectangle $R = (U, \varphi, R^s, R^u)$, we define subsets $\overline{R}$, $\text{Int } R$, $\partial'_- R$, and $\partial'_+ R$ of M by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R} &= \varphi^{-1}([0, 1] \times R^s \times R^u), \\ \text{Int } R &= \varphi^{-1}((0, 1) \times \text{Int } R^s \times \text{Int } R^u), \\ \partial'_- R &= \varphi^{-1}(0 \times \text{Int } R^s \times \text{Int } R^u), \\ \partial'_+ R &= \varphi^{-1}(1 \times \text{Int } R^s \times \text{Int } R^u). \end{aligned}$$

A rectangle R is called *proper* if $\overline{\text{Int } R^s} = R^s$ and $\overline{\text{Int } R^u} = R^u$. We call a finite family $\mathcal{R} = \{R_i = (U_i, \varphi_i, R_i^s, R_i^u)\}_{i=1}^{i_*}$ of proper rectangles a *Markov partition* associated with Φ if $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_*} \overline{R_i}$, $\text{Int } R_i \cap \text{Int } R_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and

$$\varphi_j^{-1}(1 \times R_j^s \times y_j) \subset \varphi_i^{-1}(0 \times R_i^s \times y_i), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\varphi_i^{-1}(0 \times x_i \times R_i^u) \subset \varphi_j^{-1}(1 \times x_j \times R_j^u) \quad (3.3)$$

for any $i, j = 1, \dots, i_*$ and $p = \varphi_j^{-1}(1, x_j, y_j) = \varphi_i^{-1}(0, x_i, y_i) \in \partial'_+ R_j \cap \partial'_- R_i$. The transition matrix of $\mathcal{R}$ is a $(i_* \times i_*)$ -matrix (a_{ij}) that is defined by $a_{ij} = 1$ if $\partial'_- R_i \cap \partial'_+ R_j \neq \emptyset$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The following theorem is well-known (see [13]).

Theorem 3.3. *For any $\delta > 0$, there exists a Markov partition $\mathcal{R} = \{R_i = (U_i, \varphi_i, R_i^s, R_i^u)\}_{i=1}^{i_*}$ such that its transition matrix is mixing and the diameter of U_i is less than δ for any $i = 1, \dots, i_*$.*

Fix a Markov partition $\mathcal{R} = \{R_i = (U_i, \varphi_i, R_i^s, R_i^u)\}_{i=1}^{i_*}$ such that the diameter of U_i is less than $\delta_0/8$ and $\partial'_- R_i \cap \partial'_+ R_i = \emptyset$ for any $i = 1, \dots, i_*$. Remark that the holonomy map $h_{pq} : \mathcal{F}_{\delta_1}^{uu}(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\delta_2}^{uu}(p)$ is well defined for any $p \in U_i$ and $q \in U_j$ with $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$.

Let Σ_A be the subshift of finite type associated with the transition matrix of $\mathcal{R}$. For $p \in M$, a pair (τ_p, ξ_p) is called an *itinerary* of p if τ_p is a strictly increasing function on $\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \geq 0\}$ with $\tau_p(0) = 0$, ξ_p is an element of Σ_A , and $\Phi^t(p) \in \overline{R}_{\xi(m)}$ for any $m \geq 0$ and $t \in [\tau_p(m), \tau_p(m+1)]$. Any $p \in M$ admits at least one itinerary.

Fix a point p_i of $\text{Int } R_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, i_*$. Put $(w_i, x_i, y_i) = \varphi_i(p_i)$ and $I_i^u = \varphi_i^{-1}(w_i \times x_i \times \mathbb{R})$. We define a map π_i from U_i to I_i^u by $\pi_i(\varphi_i^{-1}(w, x, y)) = \varphi_i^{-1}(w_i, x_i, y)$. In other words, π_i is the projection to I_i^u along $\mathcal{F}^s$. We put $\Lambda_i^u = \varphi_i^{-1}(w_i \times x_i \times R_i^u)$ and $\Lambda^u = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_*} \Lambda_i^u$.

Let Λ_{ij}^u be the subset of Λ^u consisting of points p that admits an itinerary (τ_p, ξ_p) with $\xi_p(0) = j$ and $\xi_p(1) = i$. We define a map Φ_{ij} from Λ_{ij}^u to Λ_i^u by $\Phi_{ij}(p) = \pi_i \circ \Phi^{\tau_p(1)}(p)$. By the standard argument, we can show that for any given $\xi \in \Sigma_A$, there exists a unique $p_\xi \in \Lambda^u$ that admits an itinerary (τ_p, ξ_p) with $\xi_p = \xi$. We define a map $\pi_A : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Lambda^u$ by $\pi_A(\xi) = p_\xi$. Since any $p \in M$ admits an itinerary, the map π_A is surjective. The uniqueness of p_ξ implies that

$$\pi_A \circ \sigma_A(\xi) = \Phi_{\xi(1)\xi(0)}(\pi_A(\xi))$$

for any $\xi \in \Sigma_A$, and hence,

$$\pi_A \circ \sigma_A^m(\xi_p) = \pi_{\xi_p(m)} \circ \Phi^{\tau_p(m)}(p) \quad (3.4)$$

for any $p \in M$, any itinerary (τ_p, ξ_p) of p , and any $m \geq 0$.

Recall that Σ_A is a metric space with the metric d_Σ that is given by (2.4). The set Λ^u admits a natural metric d_0 as a subset of the Riemannian manifold M .

Lemma 3.4. *The map π_A is Hölder continuous.*

Proof. Since Φ expands the foliation $\mathcal{F}^{uu}$, there exists $\lambda' > 0$ and a distance d' on Λ^u such that d' is comparable with d_0 and $d'(\Phi_{ij}(p), \Phi_{ij}(q)) \geq e^{\lambda'} d'(p, q)$ for any $p, q \in \Lambda_{ij}^u$. Let C be the diameter of Λ^u with respect to d' . Since

$$\pi_A \circ \sigma_A^m(\xi) = \Phi_{\xi(m)\xi(m-1)} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{\xi(1)\xi(0)} \circ \pi_A(\xi)$$

for any $\xi \in \Sigma_A$ and $m \geq 1$, we have

$$d'(\pi_A(\xi), \pi_A(\xi')) \leq e^{-k\lambda'} \cdot d'(\pi_A \circ \sigma_A^k(\xi), \pi_A \circ \sigma_A^k(\xi')) \leq C e^{\lambda'} d_\Sigma(\xi, \xi')^{\lambda'}$$

for any $\xi, \xi' \in \Sigma_A$, where k is the integer with $d_\Sigma(\xi, \xi') = e^{-(k+1)}$. $\square$

3.2 Construction of a family of measures

Put $\Delta = \{(p, q) \in M \times M \mid q \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta_0}^s(p)\}$. We can define a continuous function η on Δ by $\eta(p, p) = 0$ and $\Phi^{\eta(p, q)}(p) \in \mathcal{F}^{ss}(q)$. Since the foliation $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$ is Hölder continuous, so η is.

Fix a positive Hölder continuous function f on M . We define a function u on Δ by

$$u(p, q) = \int_0^\infty \left(f \circ \Phi^t(q) - f \circ \Phi^{t+\eta(p, q)}(p) \right) dt - \int_0^{\eta(p, q)} f \circ \Phi^t(p) dt.$$

By the Hölder continuity of f and the inequality (3.1), the function u is well-defined. It is easy to check that the equations

$$u(p_1, p_3) = u(p_1, p_2) + u(p_2, p_3) \quad (3.5)$$

$$u(\Phi^t(p_1), \Phi^t(p_2)) = u(p_1, p_2) - \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p_2) d\tau + \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p_1) d\tau. \quad (3.6)$$

hold for any $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in M$ and $t > 0$ when the both sides of the equations are well-defined.

Lemma 3.5. *The function u is Hölder continuous.*

Proof. Put

$$u_\infty(p, q) = \int_0^\infty \left(f \circ \Phi^t(q) - f \circ \Phi^{t+\eta(p, q)}(p) \right) dt.$$

It is sufficient to show that u_∞ is Hölder continuous.

Take positive constants δ, C, β_1 , and β_2 such that

$$|f(p) - f(q)| \leq C d(p, q)^\delta \quad (3.7)$$

$$d(\Phi^t(p), \Phi^t(q)) \leq e^{\beta_1 t} d(p, q), \quad (3.8)$$

$$d(\Phi^t(p), \Phi^t(p')) \leq C e^{-\beta_2 t} \quad (3.9)$$

for any $p, q \in M, p' \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta_0}^{ss}(p)$, and $t \geq 0$. Fix $(p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2) \in \Delta$. Put $p'_i = \Phi^{\eta(p_i, q_i)}(p_i)$ and $T = -(2\delta\beta_1)^{-1} \log(d(p_1, p_2)^\delta + d(q_1, q_2)^\delta)$. We may assume

that (p_1, q_1) and (p_2, q_2) are sufficiently close to each other so that $\Phi^T(q_i) \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta_0}^{ss}(\Phi^T(p'_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |u_\infty(p_1, q_1) - u_\infty(p_2, q_2)| \\
& \leq \int_0^T |f \circ \Phi^t(p'_1) - f \circ \Phi^t(p'_2)| dt + \int_0^T |f \circ \Phi^t(q_1) - f \circ \Phi^t(q_2)| dt \\
& \quad + \int_T^\infty |f \circ \Phi^t(q_1) - f \circ \Phi^t(p'_1)| dt + \int_T^\infty |f \circ \Phi^t(q_2) - f \circ \Phi^t(p'_2)| dt \\
& \leq C(d(p'_1, p'_2)^\delta + d(q_1, q_2)^\delta) \int_0^T e^{\beta_1 \delta t} dt + 2C^{1+\delta} \int_T^\infty e^{-\beta_2 \delta t} dt \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\beta_1 \delta} (d(p'_1, p'_2)^\delta + d(q_1, q_2)^\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{C^{1+\delta}}{\beta_2 \delta} (d(p'_1, p'_2)^\delta + d(q_1, q_2)^\delta)^{\frac{\beta_2}{2\beta_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since p'_i is Hölder continuous with respect to (p_i, q_i) , the function u_∞ is Hölder continuous. $\square$

We define a function f_A on Σ_A by

$$f_A(\xi) = u(\pi_A(\sigma_A(\xi)), \pi_A(\xi)). \quad (3.10)$$

Since σ_A , π_A , and u are Hölder continuous, also f_A is.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $p \in R_i$, any itinerary (τ_p, ξ_p) of p , $m \geq 0$, and $t \in [\tau_p(m), \tau_p(m+1)]$,*

$$\int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) d\tau = u(\Phi^t(p), \pi_A(\sigma_A^m(\xi_p))) - u(p, \pi_A(\xi_p)) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_A(\sigma_A^j(\xi_p)). \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Since $\Phi^\tau(p) \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta_0}^s(\Phi^{\tau_p(j)}(p))$ for any $\tau \in [\tau_p(j), \tau_p(j+1)]$, we have $\eta(\Phi^t(p), \Phi^{t'}(p)) = t - t'$, and hence,

$$u(\Phi^t(p), \Phi^{t'}(p)) = \int_{t'}^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) d\tau$$

for any $j = 0, \dots, m$ and $t, t' \in [\tau_p(j), \tau_p(j+1)]$. Put $p_j = \pi_A \circ \sigma_A^j(\xi_p)$. The equation (3.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau_p(j)}^{\tau_p(j+1)} f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) d\tau &= u(\Phi^{\tau_p(j+1)}(p), p_{j+1}) + u(p_{j+1}, p_j) + u(p_j, \Phi^{\tau_p(j)}(p)) \\
&= u(\Phi^{\tau_p(j+1)}(p), p_{j+1}) + f_A \circ \sigma_A^j(\xi_p) - u(\Phi^{\tau_p(j)}(p), p_j)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $j = 0, \dots, m-1$. Remark that all terms in the above equation are well-defined since $\{p_j, p_{j+1}\} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\delta_0/2}^s(\Phi^\tau(p))$ for any $\tau \in [\tau_p(j), \tau_p(j+1)]$. Now,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) \, d\tau \\
&= \int_{\tau_p(m)}^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) \, d\tau + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\tau_p(j)}^{\tau_p(j+1)} f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) \, d\tau \\
&= u(\Phi^t(p), \Phi^{\tau_p(m)}(p)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left\{ u(\Phi^{\tau_p(j+1)}(p), p_{j+1}) + f_A(\sigma_A^j(\xi_p)) - u(\Phi^{\tau_p(j)}(p), p_j) \right\} \\
&= u(\Phi^t(p), \Phi^{\tau_p(m)}(p)) + u(\Phi^{\tau_p(m)}(p), p_m) - u(p, p_0) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_A(\sigma_A^j(\xi_p)) \\
&= u(\Phi^t(p), \pi_A(\sigma_A^m(\xi_p))) - u(p, \pi_A(\xi_p)) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_A(\sigma_A^j(\xi_p)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Since u is bounded and f is positive, the above lemma implies that

$$\inf_{\xi \in \Sigma_A} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_A \circ \sigma_A^j(\xi) > 0$$

for some $m \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.5, there exists $\rho_\Phi > 0$ such that $P_{\sigma_A}(-\rho_\Phi \cdot f_A) = 0$. Let (μ, h) be the pair of a measure and a Hölder function on Σ_A that is given by Theorem 2.6 for $-\rho_\Phi \cdot f_A$. The measure μ satisfies

$$\log \frac{d(\mu \circ \sigma_A)}{d\mu}(\xi) = \rho_\Phi \cdot f_A(\xi) \quad (3.12)$$

for any $\xi \in \Sigma_A$.

Fix $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $B(p_1, 4\epsilon_1) \subset \text{Int } R_1$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_A^* &= \left\{ \xi \in \Sigma_A \mid \overline{R}_{\xi(0)} \cap \pi_{\xi(0)}^{-1}(\pi_A(\xi)) \subset \bigcup_{t>0} \Phi^{-t}(B(p_1, \epsilon_1)) \right\}, \\
\Sigma_A^\infty &= \bigcap_{m \geq 0} \sigma_A^{-m}(\Sigma_A^*).
\end{aligned}$$

By the inclusion (3.2), we have $\sigma_A^{-1}(\Sigma_A^*) \subset \Sigma_A^*$, and hence, $\Sigma_A^\infty = \sigma_A(\Sigma_A^\infty) = \sigma_A^{-1}(\Sigma_A^\infty)$.

Lemma 3.7. *The set Σ_A^* has non-empty interior as a subset of Σ_A .*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}^s(p) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \Phi^t(\mathcal{F}^{ss}(p))$ for any p , there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\delta_0}^s(p) \subset \bigcup_{t \in (-\delta', \delta')} \Phi^t(\mathcal{F}_{\delta'}^{ss}(p)) \quad (3.13)$$

for any $p \in M$. Since Φ contracts leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$ uniformly, we can take $T' > 0$ such that

$$\Phi^t(\mathcal{F}_{\delta'}^{ss}(p)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_1}^{ss}(\Phi^t(p)) \quad (3.14)$$

for any $p \in M$ and $t \geq T'$. Put $p'_1 = \Phi^{-(T'+\delta')}(p_1)$ and suppose $p'_1 \in R_{i_1}$. By the inclusions (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\delta_0}^s(p'_1) &\subset \bigcup_{|t| < \delta'} \Phi^t(\mathcal{F}_{\delta'}^{ss}(p'_1)) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{|t| < \delta'} \Phi^{t-(T'+\delta')}(\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_1}^{ss}(p_1)) \subset \bigcup_{t > 0} \Phi^{-t}(B(p_1, \epsilon_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Put $q_1 = \pi_{i_1}(p'_1)$. Since $\overline{\pi_{i_1}^{-1}(q_1)} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\delta_0}^s(p'_1)$, there exists an open neighborhood U of q_1 in $\Lambda_{i_1}^u$ such that

$$\overline{\text{Int } R_{i_1}} \cap \pi_{i_1}^{-1}(p) \subset \overline{\pi_{i_1}^{-1}(p)} \subset \bigcup_{t > 0} \Phi^{-t}(B(p_1, \epsilon_1)).$$

for any $p \in U$. Therefore, $\pi_A^{-1}(U) \cap \{\xi \in \Sigma_A \mid \xi(0) = i_1\}$ is a non-empty open subset of Σ_A^* . $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *The restriction of π_A to Σ_A^∞ is injective and*

$$\mu(\Sigma_A^\infty) = 1. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Fix $\xi \in \Sigma_A^\infty$ and an itinerary (τ_p, ξ_p) of $p = \pi_A(\xi)$. Since $\sigma_A^m(\xi) \in \Sigma_A^*$ for any $m \geq 1$, there exists a sequence $(t_k)_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = +\infty$ and $\Phi^{t_k}(p) \in B(p_1, \epsilon_1)$ for any $k \geq 1$. By (3.2) and (3.3), the positive orbit $\{\Phi^t(p) \mid t \geq 0\}$ of p intersects neither $\varphi_i([0, 1] \times \partial R_i^s \times R_i^u)$ nor $\varphi_i([0, 1] \times R_i^s \times \partial R_i^u)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, i_*$. It implies that $\Phi^t(p) \in \text{Int } R_{\xi_p(m)}$ for any $m \geq 1$ and $t \in (\tau_p(m), \tau_p(m+1))$. Since $\text{Int } R_i \cap \text{Int } R_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$, (τ_p, ξ_p) is the unique itinerary of $p = \pi_A(\xi)$. Therefore, the restriction of π_A to Σ_A^∞ is injective.

Recall that h is a positive Hölder continuous function and $h \cdot \mu$ is a σ_A -invariant ergodic measure. Since $\sigma_A^{-1}(\Sigma_A^*) \subset \Sigma_A^*$,

$$h \cdot \mu(\Sigma_A^\infty) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} h \cdot \mu(\sigma_A^{-m}(\Sigma_A^*)) = \mu(\Sigma_A^*). \quad (3.16)$$

Lemma 3.7 implies $\mu(\Sigma_A^*) > 0$. Since $h \cdot \mu$ is ergodic, we have $\mu(\Sigma_A^\infty) = 1$. $\square$

We define a probability measure μ' on Λ^u by the pullback of μ by π_A , that is, $\mu'(B) = \mu(\pi_A^{-1}(B))$ for any Borel subset B of Λ^u . Recall that μ is non-atomic and positive on each open subset of Σ_A . Since π_A is a surjective map onto Λ^u , the support of μ' is Λ^u . By the above lemma, we have $\mu'(\Lambda^u \setminus \pi_A(\Sigma_A^\infty)) = 0$. Since the restriction of π_A on Σ_A^∞ is injective, μ' is non-atomic.

Take $\epsilon_* > 0$ such that

$$\Phi^t(\mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_*}^s(p)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_1}^s(\Phi^t(p)) \quad (3.17)$$

for any $p \in M$ and $t \geq 0$. We put

$$V_i = \bigcup_{p \in \overline{R}_i} \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_*}^s(p), \quad V_i^\infty = V_i \cap \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_A(\Sigma_A^\infty)).$$

Remark that V_i^∞ is a subset of $\bigcup_{t \geq 0} \Phi^{-t}(B(p_1, 2\epsilon_1))$.

Recall that π_i is the projection from a neighborhood U_i of $\overline{R}_i$ to a neighborhood I_i^u of Λ_i^u . For $p \in M$, let $h_{i,p}$ be the restriction of π_i to $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) \cap U_i$. Since $h_{i,p}$ is a covering map, we can define a measure $\nu_{i,p}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$ by

$$\nu_{i,p}(A) = \rho_\Phi \cdot \int_{A \cap V_i} \exp(u(q, \pi_i(q))) d(\mu' \circ h_{i,p})(q) \quad (3.18)$$

for any Borel subset A of $\mathcal{F}_i^{uu}(p)$. The measure $\nu_{i,p}$ is non-atomic, locally finite, and positive on each non-empty open subset of $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) \cap V_i$. Moreover, it satisfies $\nu_{i,p}(\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) \setminus V_i^\infty) = 0$. By the equation (3.12), we have

$$\log \frac{d(\nu_{\xi(1), \pi_A(\sigma_A(\xi))} \circ \Phi_{\xi(1)\xi(0)})}{d\nu_{\xi(0), \pi_A(\xi)}}(\xi_A(\xi)) = \rho_\Phi \cdot f_A(\xi) \quad (3.19)$$

for any $\xi \in \Sigma_A$.

The following proposition is a keystone to the construction of the required family $\{\nu_p\}_{p \in M}$.

Proposition 3.9. *The equation*

$$\log \frac{d(\nu_{\xi_p(m), \Phi^t(q)} \circ \Phi^t)}{d\nu_{\xi_p(0), q}}(q) = \rho_\Phi \cdot \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(q) d\tau. \quad (3.20)$$

holds for any $p \in M$, any itinerary (τ_p, ξ_p) of p , $m \geq 1$, $t \in [\tau_p(m), \tau_p(m+1)]$, and $q \in \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_*}^s(p)$.

Proof. Put $q' = \Phi^t(q)$, $\xi_p(j) = i_j$, and $p_j = \pi_A(\sigma_A^j(\xi_p))$ for $j = 0, \dots, m$. Notice that $q' \in \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_1}^s(\Phi^t(p)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\delta_0}^s(p_m)$. On a small neighborhood of q in $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(q)$, we have

$$\Phi^t = h_{q'p_m} \circ \Phi_{i_m i_{m-1}} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{i_1 i_0} \circ h_{p_0 q}.$$

By the definition (3.18), we have

$$\frac{d\nu_{i,q} \circ h_{qp}}{d\nu_{i,p}} = \exp(\rho_\Phi \cdot u(q, \pi_i(q)) - \rho_\Phi \cdot (p, \pi_i(p))) = \exp(\rho_\Phi \cdot u(q, p)).$$

if $\pi_i(p) = \pi_i(q)$. It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d(\nu_{i_m, q'} \circ \Phi^t)}{d\nu_{i_0, q}}(q) \\ &= \frac{d(\nu_{i_m, q'} \circ h_{q'p_m})}{d\nu_{i_m, p_m}}(p_m) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{d(\nu_{i_{j+1}, p_{j+1}} \circ \Phi_{i_{j+1} i_j})}{d\nu_{i_j, p_j}}(p_j) \cdot \frac{d(\nu_{i_0, p_0} \circ h_{p_0 p})}{d\nu_{i_0, q}}(q) \\ &= \exp \left(\rho_\Phi \cdot \left\{ u(q', p_m) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_A(\sigma_A^j(\xi_p)) + u(p_0, q) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.6 and the equation (3.5), we obtain the required equation (3.20). $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *For any $i = 1, \dots, i_*$, $q \in V_i^\infty$, and $t > 0$ with $\Phi^t(q) \in B(p_1, 2\epsilon_1)$,*

$$\frac{d(\nu_{1, \Phi^t(q)} \circ \Phi^t)}{d\nu_{i, q}} = \rho_\Phi \cdot \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^t(q) dt$$

In particular, $\nu_{i, p} = \nu_{j, p}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) \cap V_i \cap V_j$ for any $p \in M$.

Proof. For $q \in V_i^\infty$, there exists $p \in \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_*}^s(q) \cap \overline{R}_i \cap V_i^\infty$. Let (τ_p, ξ_p) be an itinerary of p with $\xi_p(0) = i$ and m be the integer such that $t \in [\tau_p(m), \tau_p(m+1))$. Since $\Phi^t(p) \in \mathcal{F}_{\epsilon_1}^s(\Phi^t(q)) \subset B(p_1, 3\epsilon_1)$, we have $\tau_p(m) = 1$. Now, the former half of the corollary follows from the proposition immediately.

For any $p \in V_i^\infty$, there exists $t > 0$ such that $\Phi^t(p) \in B(p_1, 2\epsilon_1)$. Hence, the former half implies $\nu_{i, p} = \nu_{j, p}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) \cap V_i^\infty \cap V_j^\infty$ for any $i, j = 1, \dots, i_*$ and $p \in M$. Since $\nu_{i, p}(\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) \setminus V_i^\infty) = 0$, we obtain the latter half of the corollary. $\square$

Now, we prove Theorem 3.1. Recall that $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_*} V_i$. By Corollary 3.10, we can define a measure ν_p on $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$ by $\nu_p(U \cap V_i) = \nu_{i, p}(U \cap V_i)$ for any $i = 1, \dots, i_*$ and any Borel subset U of $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$. Then, ν_p is a non-atomic locally finite measure whose support is $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$.

Fix $p \in M$ and put $S = \{i = 1, \dots, i_* \mid p \in \overline{R}_i\}$. Notice that $\bigcup_{i \in S} \overline{R}_i$ is a neighborhood of p . Take an open interval I in $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) \cap \bigcup_{i \in S} \overline{R}_i$ that contains p . If $q \in M$ is sufficiently close to p , then $h_{qp}(I) \subset \bigcup_{i \in S} V_i$ and $h_{qp}(I \cap V_i^\infty) = h_{qp}(I) \cap V_i^\infty$ for any $i \in S$. For any $i \in S$ and any Borel subset U of I , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{i, q}(h_{qp}(U)) &= \nu_{i, q}(h_{qp}(U) \cap V_i^\infty) \\ &= \nu_{i, q}(h_{qp}(U \cap V_i^\infty)) \\ &= \int_{U \cap V_i^\infty} \exp(\rho_\Phi \cdot u(h_{qp}(p'), p')) d\nu_{i, p}(p') \\ &= \int_U \exp(\rho_\Phi \cdot u(h_{qp}(p'), p')) d\nu_{i, p}(p'), \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\log \frac{d(\nu_q \circ h_{qp})}{d\nu_p}(p) = \rho_\Phi \cdot u(h_{qp}(p), p). \quad (3.21)$$

Since u is Hölder continuous, the family $\{\nu_p\}_{p \in M}$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}(\Phi)$. Since the manifold M is closed and

$$u(\Phi^t(p), p) = \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) d\tau$$

for any $p \in M$ and any sufficiently small $t > 0$, the equation (3.21) implies

$$\log \frac{d(\nu_{\Phi^t(p)} \circ \Phi^t)}{d\nu_p}(p) = \rho_\Phi \cdot \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) d\tau$$

for any $p \in M$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

4 Deformation of Anosov flows

4.1 $C^{r+,0+}$ -flows tangent to a foliation

Let V be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \{(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}\}$. A continuous map $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called of class $C^{1+,0+}$ if F , $\partial F/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial F/\partial x_{n-1}$, and $\partial F/\partial t$ are well-defined and Hölder continuous. We say a sequence $\{F_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of $C^{1+,0+}$ maps from V to $\mathbb{R}^n$ converges to F in the $C^{1,0}$ -topology as $k \rightarrow \infty$ if F_k , $\partial F_k/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial F_k/\partial x_{n-1}$, and $\partial F_k/\partial t$ converges to F , $\partial F/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial F/\partial x_{n-1}$, and $\partial F/\partial t$ with respect to the C^0 -norm, respectively.

Let M be a C^{1+} n -dimensional closed manifold and $\mathcal{F}$ a C^{1+} codimension-one foliation on M . A continuous flow $\Phi = \{\Phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called of class $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{1+,0+}$ if it preserves each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and there exists a family $\{\varphi_p : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n\}_{p \in M}$ of C^{1+} foliation coordinates of $\mathcal{F}$ such that U_p contains p and the map

$$F_p : (w, t) \mapsto \varphi_p \circ \Phi^t \circ \varphi_p^{-1}(w)$$

is well-defined and of class $C^{1+,0+}$ on a neighborhood V_p of $(\varphi_p(p), 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for any $p \in M$. If Φ is of class $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{1+,0+}$ then for any C^{1+} foliation coordinate φ_p and any sufficiently small neighborhood V_p of $(\varphi_p(p), 0)$, the above map F_p is well-defined and of class $C^{1+,0+}$ on V_p . Remark that any C^{1+} flow which preserves each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is a $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{1+,0+}$ flow.

We say a sequence $\{\Phi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{1+,0+}$ flows converges to Φ in the $C^{1,0}$ -topology if there exists a neighborhood $V'_p \subset V_p$ of $(\varphi_p(p), 0)$ such that the map $F_{k,p} : (w, t) \mapsto \varphi_p \circ \Phi_k^t \circ \varphi_p^{-1}(w)$ is well-defined on V'_p for any $k \geq 1$ and the sequence $(F_{k,p})_{k \geq 1}$ converges to F in the $C^{1,0}$ -topology.

Fix a Hölder continuous metric g on M . Let Φ be a $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{1+,0+}$ flow on M . It is generated by a Hölder continuous vector field tangent to $T\mathcal{F}$. Let $TM = T\Phi \oplus E_{\Phi} \oplus E_{\Phi}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal splitting with respect to g that satisfies $T\Phi \oplus E_{\Phi} = T\mathcal{F}$. By π_{Φ} and $\pi_{\Phi}^{\perp}$, we denote the natural projection onto E_{Φ} and $E_{\Phi}^{\perp}$ with respect to the splitting. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the restriction of Φ^t to each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ defines an isomorphism $D_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi^t$ of $T\mathcal{F}$. The one-parameter family $\{D_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ forms a Hölder continuous flow. We define a Hölder continuous flow $N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi = \{N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on E_{Φ} by

$$N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi^t = \pi_{\Phi} \circ D_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi^t|_{E_{\Phi}}.$$

Linear holonomy of $\mathcal{F}$ along the orbits of Φ also induces a Hölder continuous flow $N_{\mathcal{F}}^{\perp}\Phi$ on $E_{\Phi}^{\perp}$.

For a flow $\psi = \{\psi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on a topological space B , we say a function α on $B \times \mathbb{R}$ is a *cocycle* over a flow ψ if $\alpha(p, s+t) = \alpha(p, s) + \alpha(\psi^s(p), t)$ for any

$p \in B$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_\Phi(p, t; \mathcal{F}; g) &= \log \det_g(N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi^t)_p, \\ \alpha_\Phi^\perp(p, t; \mathcal{F}; g) &= \log \det_g(N_{\mathcal{F}}^\perp\Phi^t)_p, \\ \beta_\Phi(p, t; \mathcal{F}; g) &= \log \|(N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi^t)_p\|_g.\end{aligned}$$

Then, α_Φ and $\alpha_\Phi^\perp$ are cocycles over Φ , and β_Φ satisfies

$$\beta(p, s+t) \leq \beta(p, s) + \beta(\Phi^s(p), t).$$

If a sequence $(\Phi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{1+,0+}$ flows on M converges to Φ in the $C^{1,0}$ -topology, then α_{Φ_k} , $\alpha_{\Phi_k}^\perp$, and β_{Φ_k} converges uniformly to α_Φ , $\alpha_\Phi^\perp$, and β_Φ respectively on $M \times [-T, T]$ for any given $T > 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Φ be a C^{1+} and $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{1+,0+}$ flow on M . Suppose*

$$\inf_{p \in M} \{ \alpha_\Phi^\perp(p, T_1; \mathcal{F}, g), -\beta_\Phi(p, T_1; \mathcal{F}, g) \} > 0$$

for some $T_1 > 0$. Then, there exists an Anosov splitting $TM = T\Phi \oplus E^{ss} \oplus E^{uu}$ such that $T\Phi \oplus E^{ss} = T\mathcal{F}$.

Moreover, if Φ is of class C^∞ and

$$\inf_{p \in M} \{ \alpha_\Phi(p, T_2; \mathcal{F}, g) + \alpha_\Phi^\perp(p, T_2; \mathcal{F}, g) - \beta_\Phi(p, T_2; \mathcal{F}, g) \} > 0$$

for some $T_2 > 0$ in addition, then the subbundle $T\Phi \oplus E^{uu}$ is of class C^{1+} .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T\mathcal{F}$ is transversely orientable. Let E be the orthogonal complement of $T\Phi$ with respect to g and $\pi^\perp$ the orthogonal projection from TM to E . We define a flow $N\Phi = \{N\Phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on E by $N\Phi^t = \pi^\perp \circ D\Phi^t$. Remark that $E = E_\Phi \oplus E_\Phi^\perp$ and the projection $\pi^\perp$ coincides with π_Φ on $T\Phi \oplus E_\Phi$. In particular, $N\Phi^t(v) = N_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi^t(v)$ for any $v \in E_\Phi$. We also remark that $N_{\mathcal{F}}^\perp\Phi^t(v') = \pi_\Phi^\perp \circ D\Phi^t(v') = \pi_\Phi^\perp \circ N\Phi^t(v')$ for any $v' \in E_\Phi^\perp$.

Let $\Gamma(E_\Phi)$ be the set of continuous sections of E_Φ . It becomes a Banach space by a norm $\|\xi\|_\Gamma = \sup_{p \in M} \|\xi(p)\|_g$. Let $v^\perp$ be the unit tangent vector field of $E_\Phi^\perp$. Since

$$\pi_\Phi^\perp \circ N\Phi^t(v^\perp(p)) = N_{\mathcal{F}}^\perp\Phi^t(v^\perp(p)) = \exp(\alpha_\Phi^\perp(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g)) \cdot v^\perp(\Phi^t(p)),$$

we can define a map $\Gamma\Phi^t$ on $\Gamma(E_\Phi)$ by

$$\Gamma\Phi^t(\xi)(\Phi^t(p)) + v^\perp(\Phi^t(p)) = \exp(-\alpha_\Phi^\perp(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g)) \cdot N\Phi^t(\xi(p) + v^\perp(p)).$$

Since $N\Phi = \{N\Phi^t\}$ is a flow and α_Φ is a cocycle, the family $\{\Gamma\Phi^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ forms a flow on $\Gamma(E_\Phi)$. Put

$$C_\Phi(t) = \inf_{p \in M} (\alpha_\Phi^\perp(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g) - \beta_\Phi(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g)).$$

Then, we have $C_\Phi(t+t') \geq C_\Phi(t) + C_\Phi(t')$ and

$$\|\Gamma\Phi^t(\xi) - \Gamma\Phi^t(\xi')\|_\Gamma \leq \exp(-C_\Phi(t))\|\xi - \xi'\|_\Gamma \quad (4.1)$$

for any $t, t' \geq 0$ and $\xi, \xi' \in \Gamma(E_\Phi^\perp)$. Since $C_\Phi(T_1) > 0$, the contraction mapping principle implies that the flow $\Gamma\Phi$ admits a unique fixed point ξ_0 . Let E_0 be the one-dimensional subbundle of TM that is generated by the vector field $v^\perp + \xi_0$. Since the restriction of $\pi_\Phi^\perp$ to E_0 is an isomorphism onto $E_\Phi^\perp$, there exists $T' > 0$ such that $\inf_{p \in M} \|N\Phi^{T'}|_{E_0(p)}\|_g > 1$. By the standard argument (see *e.g.* [5]), the flow Φ admits an Anosov splitting $TM = T\Phi \oplus E^{ss} \oplus E^{uu}$ with $T\Phi \oplus E_\Phi = T\Phi \oplus E^{ss}$ and $T\Phi \oplus E_0 = T\Phi \oplus E^{uu}$.

The latter half of the lemma is a consequence of the C^r -section theorem for E_0 (see *e.g.* [14]). $\square$

4.2 Replacement of smooth structures

Let M be a C^∞ closed manifold of dimension n and Φ be a C^∞ codimension-one Anosov flow on M . Let $TM = T\Phi \oplus E^{ss} \oplus E^{uu}$ be the Anosov splitting, $\mathcal{F}$ be the weak stable foliation, and $\mathcal{F}^{uu}$ be the strong unstable foliation of Φ . Recall that $\mathcal{F}$ is a C^{1+} foliation with C^∞ leaves.

Fix an integer $r \geq 1$ and a C^{r+} one-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{L}$ that is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$. By π_x and π_y , we denote the natural projections from $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, respectively. For each $p \in M$, we can take a C^{1+} coordinate $\varphi_p : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and a C^{1+} map $L_p : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$ that satisfy the following conditions:

1. $\varphi_p(p) = (0, 0)$ and $\varphi_p(U_p) = (-1, 1)^n$.
2. $\varphi_p^{-1}((-1, 1)^{n-1} \times y) \subset \mathcal{F}(q)$ and $\varphi_p^{-1}(x \times (-1, 1)) \subset \mathcal{L}(q)$ for any $q = \varphi_p^{-1}(x, y) \in U_p$.
3. $\pi_x \circ \varphi_p$ is of class C^{r+} .
4. $L_p(0) = p$ and $L_p(y) \in \mathcal{F}^{uu}(p) \cap \varphi_p^{-1}((-1, 1)^{n-1} \times y)$ for any $y \in (-1, 1)$.

For $p, q \in M$, there exist $V_{pq}^x \subset (-1, 1)^{n-1}$, $V_{pq}^y \subset (-1, 1)$, and maps $\varphi_{pq}^x : V_{pq}^x \rightarrow (-1, 1)^{n-1}$ and $\varphi_{pq}^y : V_{pq}^y \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_q(U_p \cap U_q) &= V_{pq}^x \times V_{pq}^y, \\ \varphi_p \circ \varphi_q^{-1}(x, y) &= (\varphi_{pq}^x(x), \varphi_{pq}^y(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Since Φ is Anosov, we can take a C^∞ metric g on TM and $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{p \in M} \{ \alpha_\Phi^\perp(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g), -\beta_\Phi(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g) \} \geq \lambda \cdot t \quad (4.2)$$

for any $t > 0$. Put $f(p) = -(\partial\alpha_\Phi/\partial t)(p, 0; \mathcal{F}, g)$. Since $T\mathcal{F}$ is of class C^{1+} and $\alpha_\Phi \leq \beta_\Phi$, the function f is Hölder continuous and positive. By Theorem 3.1, there exists an element $\nu = \{\nu_p\}_{p \in M}$ of $\mathcal{M}(\Phi)$ and a constant $\rho_\Phi > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d(\nu_{\Phi^t(p)} \circ \Phi^t)}{d\nu_p}(p) = \rho_\Phi \cdot \int_0^t f \circ \Phi^\tau(p) d\tau = -\rho_\Phi \cdot \alpha_\Phi(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g) \quad (4.3)$$

for any $p \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since L_p is a homeomorphism onto its image, the measure $\nu_p \circ L_p$ on $(-1, 1)$ is well-defined for each $p \in M$. We define a function η_p on $(-1, 1)$ by

$$\eta_p(y) = \int_0^y d(\nu_p \circ L_p).$$

Lemma 4.2. *For each $p \in M$, η_p is a bi-Hölder homeomorphism onto its image.*

Proof. Since ν_p is non-atomic, locally finite, and positive on each non-empty open subset of $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$, the map η_p is a homeomorphism onto its image.

The metric g on M induces the Lebesgue measure ν_p^L on $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$ for each $p \in M$. There exist constants $C > 0$ and $\lambda_+ > \lambda_- > 0$ such that $e^{\lambda_- t - C} \leq \|D\Phi^t|_{E^{uu}(p)}\| \leq e^{\lambda_+ t + C}$ for any $p \in M$ and any $t > 0$. Take $\lambda'_+ > \lambda'_- > 0$ such that $\lambda'_- \leq \rho_\Phi \cdot f(p) \leq \lambda'_+$ for any $p \in M$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda_- t - C} \cdot \nu_p^L(U) &\leq \nu_{\Phi^t(p)}^L(U) \leq e^{\lambda_+ t + C} \cdot \nu_p^L(U) \\ e^{\lambda'_- t} \cdot \nu_p(U) &\leq \nu_{\Phi^t(p)}(U) \leq e^{\lambda'_+ t} \cdot \nu_p(U) \end{aligned}$$

for any $p \in M$, any $t \geq 0$, and any Borel subset U of $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$. Since the function $(p, q) \mapsto (d(\nu_p \circ h_{pq})/d\nu_q)(q)$ is continuous, there exists $C' > 0$ such that $e^{-C'} \leq \nu_p(I_p) \leq e^{-C'}$ for any $p \in M$ and any interval I_p in $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$ with $\nu_p^L(I_p) = 1$. For any $p \in M$ and any interval I in $\mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$ with $\nu_p^L(I) < 1$, there exists $t \geq 0$ such that $\nu_p^L(\Phi^t(I)) = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\lambda_+ t - C} &\leq \nu_p^L(I) \leq e^{-\lambda_- t + C}, \\ e^{-\lambda'_+ t - C'} &\leq \nu_p(I) \leq e^{-\lambda'_- t + C'}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(I)^{\lambda_+} &\leq e^{\lambda_+ C' + \lambda'_- C} \cdot \nu_p^L(I)^{\lambda'_-}, \\ \nu_p^L(I)^{\lambda'_+} &\leq e^{\lambda_- C' + \lambda'_+ C} \cdot \nu_p(I)^{\lambda_-}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\varphi_p^{-1})^*g$ is comparable with the Euclidean metric on $\varphi_p(U_p)$, the above inequalities shows that ν_p is bi-Hölder continuous. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *For any $p, q \in M$, the map $\eta_p \circ \varphi_{pq}^y \circ \eta_q^{-1}$ on $\eta_p(V_{pq}^y)$ is of class C^{1+} .*

Proof. Fix $p \in M$ and $y_0 \in (-1, 1)$. Suppose that $q \in M$ is sufficiently close to p so that the holonomy map $h_{pq} : \mathcal{F}^{uu}(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{uu}(p)$ of $\mathcal{F}^s$ is well-defined on a neighborhood of $L_p(y_0)$. Since $\varphi_{pq}^y = L_p^{-1} \circ h_{pq} \circ L_q$, we have

$$\frac{d(\nu_p \circ L_p \circ \varphi_{pq}^y)}{d(\nu_q \circ L_q)} = \frac{d(\nu_p \circ h_{pq})}{d\nu_q} \circ L_q.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta_p \circ \varphi_{pq}^y \circ \eta_q^{-1}(y) - \eta_p \circ \varphi_{pq}^y \circ \eta_q^{-1}(y_0) \\
&= \int_{\varphi_{pq}^y \circ \eta_q^{-1}(y_0)}^{\varphi_{pq}^y \circ \eta_q^{-1}(y)} d(\nu_p \circ L_p) \\
&= \int_{\eta_q^{-1}(y_0)}^{\eta_q^{-1}(y)} \left(\frac{d(\nu_p \circ h_{pq})}{d\nu_q} \circ L_q \right) d(\nu_q \circ L_q) \\
&= \int_{y_0}^y \frac{d(\nu_p \circ h_{pq})}{d\nu_q} \circ (L_q \circ \eta_q^{-1})(\eta) d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $d(\nu_p \circ h_{pq})/d\nu_q$ is Hölder continuous by the definition of $\mathcal{M}(\Phi)$, the map $\eta_p \circ \varphi_{pq}^y \circ \eta_q^{-1}$ is of class C^{1+} at y_0 . $\square$

We define a map $\check{\varphi}_p : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\check{\varphi}_p \circ \varphi_p^{-1}(x, y) = (x, \eta_p(y)).$$

The above lemma implies that the family $\{\check{\varphi}_p\}_{p \in M}$ defines a C^{1+} -structure on M . We denote this C^{1+} -manifold by $\check{M}$. The identity map on M as a set induces a map $i_M : M \rightarrow \check{M}$. It is bi-Hölder by Lemma 4.2, but is not of class C^{1+} in general. Put $\check{\mathcal{F}} = i_M(\mathcal{F})$ and $\check{\mathcal{L}} = i_M(\mathcal{L})$. By the definitions of φ_p and $\check{\varphi}_p$, both $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{L}}$ are C^{1+} foliations and the restriction of i_M to each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is of class C^{1+} . Hence, the map i_M induces a bi-Hölder isomorphism $D_x i_M$ from $T\mathcal{F}$ to $T\check{\mathcal{F}}$.

We define a continuous flow $\check{\Phi} = \{\check{\Phi}^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\check{M}$ by $\check{\Phi}^t = i_M \circ \Phi^t \circ i_M^{-1}$. Since

$$\check{\varphi}_p \circ \check{\Phi}^t \circ \check{\varphi}_p^{-1} = (\text{Id} \times \eta_p) \circ (\varphi_p \circ \Phi^t \circ \varphi_p^{-1}) \circ (\text{Id} \times \eta_p^{-1}),$$

it is a $C_{\check{\mathcal{F}}}^{1+,0+}$ flow by Lemma 4.3. The flow $\check{\Phi}$ is generated by a Hölder continuous vector field. We denote it by $\check{X}$. Take a Hölder continuous metric $\check{g}$ such that $T_p \check{M} = T\check{\mathcal{F}}(p) \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot D\check{\varphi}_p(\partial/\partial y)$ is an orthogonal splitting with respect to $\check{g}$ for any $p \in \check{M}$, $(D_x i_M)^*(\check{g}|_{T\mathcal{F}}) = g|_{T\mathcal{F}}$, and $\|D\check{\varphi}_p^{-1}(\partial/\partial y)\|_{\check{g}} = 1$. We can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\check{\Phi}}(i_M(p), t; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) &= \alpha_{\Phi}(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g), \\
\alpha_{\check{\Phi}}^\perp(i_M(p), t; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) &= \frac{d(\nu_{\Phi^t(p)} \circ \Phi^t)}{d\nu_p}(p) = -\rho_{\Phi} \cdot \alpha_{\Phi}(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g), \\
\beta_{\check{\Phi}}(i_M(p), t; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) &= \beta_{\Phi}(p, t; \mathcal{F}, g).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(\check{\Phi}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of $C^{1+,0+}$ flows on $\check{M}$ that converges to $\check{\Phi}$ in the $C^{1,0}$ topology. Then, $\check{\Phi}_k$ is topologically equivalent to $\check{\Phi}$ for any sufficiently large k .*

Proof. We mimic the proof of the structural stability of Anosov flows. Let $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$ be the strong stable foliation of Φ . Put $\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss} = i_M(\mathcal{F}^{ss})$. It is a Hölder foliation

and its restriction to each leaf of $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ is of class C^{1+} . Let d_p^{ss} be the distance on $\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss}(p)$ that is induced from the restriction of the metric $\check{g}$ to $\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss}(p)$. Since $D_x i_M$ is an isometry, there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$d_{\check{\Phi}^T(p)}^{ss}(\check{\Phi}^T(p), \check{\Phi}^T(q)) \leq \frac{1}{4} d_p^{ss}(p, q) \quad (4.4)$$

for any $p, q \in \check{M}$.

Let Y_k be the vector field that generates $\check{\Phi}_k$. It is Hölder continuous and converges to $\check{X}$ as a C^0 vector field. Take $k_0 \geq 1$ so that Y_k is transverse to $\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss}$ for any $k \geq k_0$. For any $k \geq k_0$, there exists a cocycle c_k over $\check{\Phi}$ such that $\check{\Phi}_k^{c_k(p,t)}(p) \in \check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss}(\check{\Phi}^t(p))$ for any $p \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We define a flow Ψ_k on $\check{M}$ by $\Psi_k^t(p) = \check{\Phi}_k^{c_k(p,t)}(p)$. Since $\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss}$ is Hölder continuous and its restriction to each leaf of $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ is of class C^{1+} , we can show that the flow $\Psi_k = \{\Psi_k^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is of class $C_{\check{\mathcal{F}}}^{1+,0+}$ and the sequence $(\Psi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ converges to $\check{\Phi}$ in the $C^{1,0}$ -topology. Hence, there exists $k_1 \geq k_0$ such that

$$d_{\Psi_k^T(p)}^{ss}(\Psi_k^T(p), \Psi_k^T(q)) \leq \frac{1}{2} d_p^{ss}(p, q) \quad (4.5)$$

for any $p \in \check{M}$, $q \in \check{\mathcal{F}}^{uu}(p)$, and $k \geq k_1$.

Let $\Gamma(\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss})$ be the set of continuous self-maps H of $\check{M}$ such that $H(p) \in \check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss}(p)$ for any $p \in \check{M}$. We define a metric d_Γ on $\Gamma(\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss})$ by $d_\Gamma(H_1, H_2) = \sup_{p \in \check{M}} d_p^{ss}(H_1(p), H_2(p))$. For $k \geq k_1$, we define a flow Γ_k on $\Gamma(\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss})$ by

$$\Gamma_k^t(H)(p) = \check{\Phi}^t \circ H \circ \Psi_k^{-t}(p).$$

The inequality (4.4) implies

$$d_\Gamma(\Gamma_k^T(H_1), \Gamma_k^T(H_2)) \leq \frac{1}{4} d_\Gamma(H_1, H_2).$$

By the contraction mapping principle, there exists a fixed point H_k of Γ_k that is homotopic to the identity and satisfies

$$\sup_{p \in \check{M}} d_p^{ss}(p, H_k(p)) < C_k \quad (4.6)$$

for some $C_k > 0$. It is easy to check that H_k is surjective and satisfies

$$H_k \circ \check{\Phi}_k^{c_k(p,t)}(p) = H_k \circ \Psi_k^t(p) = \check{\Phi}^t \circ H_k(p)$$

for any $p \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, the proof is completed once we show that H_k is injective. Suppose $H_k(p) = H_k(q)$ for some $p, q \in \check{M}$. Then, both $H_k(p) = H_k(q)$ and q are contained in $\check{\mathcal{F}}^{ss}(p)$. By the inequalities (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^l d_p^{ss}(p, q) &\leq d_{\check{\Phi}^{-lT}(p)}^{ss}(\Psi_k^{-lT}(p), \Psi_k^{-lT}(q)) \\ &< d_{\check{\Phi}^{-lT}(p)}^{ss}(H_k \circ \Psi_k^{-lT}(p), H_k \circ \Psi_k^{-lT}(q)) + 2C_k \\ &= d_{\check{\Phi}^{-lT}(p)}^{ss}(\check{\Phi}^{-lT}(H_k(p)), \check{\Phi}^{-lT}(H_k(q))) + 2C_k \end{aligned}$$

for any $l \geq 1$. By the assumption $H_k(p) = H_k(q)$, the last term is equal to $2C_k$, and hence, we have $p = q$ by taking $l \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, H_k is injective. $\square$

4.3 Proof of the main theorem

Fix an n -dimensional closed manifold M , a C^∞ codimension-one topologically transitive Anosov flow Φ , and a C^∞ metric g on TM that satisfies (2.3) for some $\lambda > 0$. As the preceding subsection, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the weak stable foliation of Φ and (ν, ρ_Φ) be the pair obtained by applying Theorem 3.1 to Φ and $-(\partial\alpha_\Phi/\partial t)(\cdot \cdot; \mathcal{F}, g)$.

The proof of the main theorem is divided into the following two propositions:

Proposition 4.5. $\rho_\Phi = 1$ and Φ is topologically equivalent to a C^∞ Anosov flow whose weak unstable foliation is of class C^{1+} .

Proposition 4.6. If the weak unstable foliation of Φ is of class C^{1+} , then Φ is topologically equivalent to a C^∞ Anosov flow that preserves a C^∞ volume.

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a C^{2+} one-dimensional foliation that is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$. As the preceding subsections, we take families $\{\varphi_p\}_{p \in M}$ and $\{\check{\varphi}_p\}_{p \in M}$ of coordinates associated with $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ and ν . Let $U_p, \varphi_{pq}^x, \eta_p, i_M, \check{M}, \check{\mathcal{F}}$, and $\check{\Phi}$ be the ones that are given in the preceding subsection. Remark that the map φ_{pq}^x is of class C^{2+} .

We say a continuous flow Ψ on a C^{1+} closed Riemannian manifold (M_1, g_1) satisfies the property $(A)_\epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$, if there exists a C^{1+} codimension-one foliation $\mathcal{F}_1$ on M_1 , $T > 0$, and $C > 0$ such that Ψ is a $C_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{1+, 0+}$ flow and

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{ \alpha_\Psi^\perp(p, T; \mathcal{F}_1, g_1), -\beta_\Psi(p, T; \mathcal{F}_1, g_1) \} &> C, \\ | \rho_\Phi \cdot \alpha_\Psi(p, T; \mathcal{F}_1, g_1) + \alpha_\Psi^\perp(p, T; \mathcal{F}_1, g_1) | &< \epsilon \cdot C \end{aligned}$$

for any $p \in M_1$. Since α_Ψ and $\alpha_\Psi^\perp$ are cocycle and $\beta_\Psi(p, s+t) \leq \beta_\Psi(p, s) + \beta_\Psi(\Psi^s(p), t)$ for any $s, t \geq 0$, we can see that if Ψ satisfies the property $(A)_\epsilon$ and another $C^{1+, 0+}$ flow Ψ' on M_1 has the same oriented orbits as Ψ , then Ψ' also satisfies the property $(A)_\epsilon$.

We will show that $\rho_\Phi = 1$ and that Φ is topologically equivalent to a C^∞ flow on a C^∞ manifold that satisfies the property $(A)_{\frac{1}{2}}$. It completes the proof of the proposition by Lemma 4.1.

Fix a finite subset S of M such that $\bigcup_{p \in S} U_p = M$. Take a C^{1+} partition of unity $\{\zeta_p\}_{p \in S}$ associated with the covering $\{i_M(U_p)\}_{p \in S}$ of $\check{M}$. Let X and $\check{X}$ be the vector fields that generate Φ and $\check{\Phi}$ respectively. For $p \in S$, we define a family $(a_{p,m})_{m=1}^{n-1}$ of functions on $\check{\varphi}_p(U_p)$ by

$$D\check{\varphi}_p(\check{X}) = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_{p,m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}.$$

We can see $D\check{\varphi}_p(\check{X})(x, y) = D\varphi_p(X)(x, \eta_p^{-1}(y))$ for any $(x, y) \in \check{\varphi}_p(U_p)$. Hence, $a_{p,m}$ and $\partial a_{p,m}/\partial x_j$ are Hölder continuous for any $j, m = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Fix a C^∞ non-negative function ξ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that its support is compact and its integral over $\mathbb{R}$ is one. For $k \geq 1$, we define a function $a_{p,m}^k$ by

$$a_{p,m}^k(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta_p \cdot a_{p,m})(x, y + (t/k)) \cdot \xi(t) dt.$$

By the standard arguments on mollifiers, we can show that $a_{p,m}^k$ is of class C^{1+} and it satisfies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|a_{p,m}^k(w) - (\zeta_p \cdot a_{p,m})(w)\|_{0,p} = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{\partial a_{p,m}^k}{\partial x_j}(w) - \frac{\partial(\zeta_p \cdot a_{p,m})}{\partial x_j}(w) \right\|_{0,p} = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\|h\|_{0,p} = \sup_{w \in \check{\varphi}_p(U_p)} |h(w)|$.

Put

$$\check{X}_k = \sum_{p \in S} D\check{\varphi}_p^{-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_{p,m}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \right).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D\check{\varphi}_q(\check{X}_k)(x, y) &= \sum_{p \in S} D(\check{\varphi}_q \circ \check{\varphi}_p^{-1}) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_{p,m}^k(x_p, y_p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in S} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_{p,m}^k(x_p, y_p) D(\varphi_{qp}^x)_{x_p} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $(x_p, y_p) = \check{\varphi}_p \circ \check{\varphi}_q^{-1}(x, y)$. Since φ_{pq}^x is of class C^{2+} and $\check{\varphi}_p \circ \check{\varphi}_q^{-1}$ is of class C^{1+} , the vector field $D\check{\varphi}_q(\check{X}_k)$ is of class C^{1+} for any $q \in S$. In particular, $\check{X}_k$ generates a C^{1+} flow on $\check{M}$ that is tangent to $\check{\mathcal{F}}$. We denote it by $\check{\Phi}_k$. By the inequalities (4.7) and (4.8), the sequence $(\check{\Phi}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ converges to $\check{\Phi}$ in the $C^{1,0}$ -topology. It implies that the flow $\check{\Phi}_k$ is topologically equivalent to Φ by 4.4. For any given $\epsilon > 0$, the flow $\check{\Phi}$ satisfies $(A)_\epsilon$. Hence, $\check{\Phi}_k$ satisfies the property $(A)_\epsilon$ if k is sufficiently large.

We show that $\rho_\Phi = 1$. If it is not, there exists $k_0 \geq 1$ such that $\check{\Phi}_{k_0}$ satisfies the property $(A)_\epsilon$ for $\epsilon = |1 - \rho_\Phi|/2$. Put $\Psi = \check{\Phi}_{k_0}$. Let E be the orthogonal complement of $T\Phi$ with respect to $\check{g}$. Take a metric $\check{g}'$ on $T\check{M}$ such that the splitting $T\check{M} = T\Psi \oplus E$ is also orthogonal with respect to $\check{g}'$, $\check{g}'|_E = \check{g}|_E$, and $\|X_\Psi\|_{\check{g}'} = 1$, where X_Ψ is the vector field that generates Ψ . Remark that the metric $\check{g}'$ is Hölder continuous and satisfies

$$\log \det_{\check{g}'} D\Psi^t(p) = \alpha_\Psi(p, t; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) + \alpha_\Psi^\perp(p, t; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}).$$

Let T and C be constants in the definition of the property $(A)_\epsilon$ for Ψ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{p \in \check{M}} |\log \det_{\check{g}'} D\Psi^t(p)| \\ &= \inf_{p \in \check{M}} |\alpha_\Psi(p, T; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) + \alpha_\Psi^\perp(p, T; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g})| \\ &\geq \inf_{p \in \check{M}} \{ |1 - \rho_\Phi| \cdot |\alpha_\Psi(p, T; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g})| - |\rho_\Phi \cdot \alpha_\Psi(p, T; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) + \alpha_\Psi^\perp(p, T; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g})| \} \\ &\geq \frac{C}{2} |1 - \rho_\Phi| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It contradicts that M is of finite volume with respect to the volume induced from $\check{g}'$. So, we have $\rho_P h i = 1$.

Fix $k_1 \geq 1$ such that $\check{\Phi}_{k_1}$ satisfies the property $(A)_{(1/2)}$. By Corollary 2.2, $\check{M}$ admits a C^∞ -structure that is compatible with the C^{1+} -structure and such that the orbit foliation of $\check{\Phi}_{k_1}$ is generated by a C^{1+} vector field $\check{Y}$ as an oriented foliation. Let Ψ_1 be the flow generated by $\check{Y}$. It satisfies the property $(A)_{(1/2)}$,

By approximating the vector field $\check{Y}_1$ by a C^∞ one, we obtain a C^∞ Anosov flow Ψ_∞ that is topologically equivalent to Ψ_1 , and hence, to Φ . Since the tangent space of the stable foliation of Ψ_∞ is C^0 -close to that of Ψ_1 , we can see that Ψ_∞ satisfies the property $(A)_{(1/2)}$. As mentioned at the beginning of the proof, it completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{F}^u$ be the weak unstable foliation of Φ . By the assumption, we can take the C^{1+} foliation $\mathcal{L}$ that is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ and satisfies $\mathcal{L}(p) \subset \mathcal{F}^u(p)$ for any $p \in M$. As the preceding subsection, we take families $\{\varphi_p\}_{p \in M}$ and $\{\check{\varphi}_p\}_{p \in M}$ of coordinates associated with $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ and ν . Let $\eta_p, \check{M}, \check{\mathcal{F}}$, and $\check{\Phi}$ be the ones that are given in the preceding subsection.

Put $\check{\mathcal{F}}^u = i_M(\mathcal{F}^u)$. We define a foliation $\mathcal{O}_p$ on $(-1, 1)^{n-1}$ by

$$\varphi_p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_p(x) \times 0) \subset \{\Phi^t \circ \varphi_p^{-1}(x, 0) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

It is a C^{1+} foliation satisfying $\varphi_p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_p(x) \times (-1, 1)) \subset \mathcal{F}^u(\varphi_p^{-1}(x, y))$, and hence,

$$\check{\varphi}_p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_p(x) \times \eta_p((-1, 1))) \subset \check{\mathcal{F}}^u(\check{\varphi}_p^{-1}(x, \check{y})),$$

for any $p \in M$, $(x, y) \in (-1, 1)^n$, and $\check{y} \in \eta_p((-1, 1))$. Therefore, $\check{\mathcal{F}}^u$ is a C^{1+} foliation.

Let $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ be the orbit foliation of $\check{\Phi}$. Each leaf of $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ is the intersection of leaves of $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{F}}^u$, and hence, the foliation $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ is of class C^{1+} . By Corollary 2.2, $\check{M}$ admits a C^∞ -structure that is compatible with the C^{1+} -structure of $\check{M}$ and such that $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ is generated by a C^{1+} vector field Y . Let Ψ be the flow generated by Y . Since α_Ψ , $\alpha_\Psi^\perp$, and β_Ψ are determined by holonomy maps of $\check{\mathcal{O}}$, we have

$$\rho_\Phi \cdot \alpha_\Psi^\perp(p, t; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) + \alpha_\Psi(p, t; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

for any $p \in \check{M}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{p \in \check{M}} \beta_\Psi(p, T; \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{g}) < 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Since $\alpha_\Psi(p, t) \leq \beta_\Psi(p, t)$, Lemma 4.1 implies that Ψ is an Anosov flow.

By Proposition 4.5, we have $\rho_\Phi = 1$. The equations (4.9) implies that Ψ preserves a Hölder continuous volume. Now, the proposition follows from Proposition 2.3 and the structural stability of Anosov flows. $\square$

A A short proof of Theorem 2.1

In this appendix, we give a short proof of Theorem 2.1.

Let m be the dimension of $\mathcal{F}$. We identify the tangent space $T_z\mathbb{R}^n$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ at $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^k$ for each $k \geq 1$. For $\epsilon > 0$, a C^∞ coordinate φ on an open subset U_φ of M is called $(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon)$ -adapted if $\varphi(U_\varphi) = (-9, 9)^n$ and

$$D\varphi(T\mathcal{F}(p)) \subset \{v \oplus v' \in T_{\varphi(p)}\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \mid \|v'\| \leq \epsilon\|v\|\} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

for any $p \in U_\varphi$. For a foliation $\mathcal{G}$ on M , let $U^{r+\alpha}(\mathcal{G})$ be the set of points $p \in M$ such that $T\mathcal{G}$ is of class $C^{r+\alpha}$ on a neighborhood of p .

Lemma A.1. *Let φ be an $(\mathcal{F}, 1)$ -adapted coordinate. For any compact subsets $V_0 \subset U^{r+\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$ and any C^r -neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the identity map in the space of C^r -diffeomorphism of M , there exists a $C^{r+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism $H \in \mathcal{U}$ such that*

$$V_0 \cup \varphi^{-1}([-1, 1]^n) \subset U^{r+\alpha}(H(\mathcal{F})). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Proof. By (A.1), there exists a $C^{r+\alpha}$ map $F : [-4, -4]^n \rightarrow (-9, 9)^{n-m}$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(w, F(w, z)) \in \mathcal{F}(\varphi^{-1}(0, z))$ and $\|F(w, z) - z\| \leq \|w\|$ for any $(w, z) \in [-4, 4]^n = [-4, 4]^m \times [-4, 4]^{n-m}$. Put $U = \varphi^{-1}(\{(w, F(w, z)) \mid (w, z) \in [-4, 4]^n\})$. For a map G from $[-4, 4]^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$, let $V^{r+\alpha}(G)$ be the set of points $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that G is of class $C^{r+\alpha}$ on a neighborhood of w .

Fix a C^∞ function λ on $[-4, 4]^n$ such that $0 \leq \lambda(w, z) \leq 1$ for any $(w, z) \in [-4, 4]^n$, $\lambda(w, z) = 0$ on $[-4, 4]^n \setminus [-7/2, 7/2]^n$, and $\lambda(w, z) = 1$ on $[-3, 3]^n$. For any given C^∞ map $f : [-4, 4]^n \rightarrow (-9, 9)^{n-m}$, we define $C^{r+\alpha}$ maps $G_f : [-4, 4]^n \rightarrow (-9, 9)^n$ and $H_f : M \rightarrow M$ by

$$G_f(w, z) = (w, (1 - \lambda(w, z))F(w, z) + \lambda(w, z)f(w, z))$$

and

$$H_f(p) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{-1} \circ G_f \circ G_F^{-1} \circ \varphi(p) & (p \in U) \\ p & (p \notin U). \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Remark that $[-1, 1]^n \subset G_F((-3, 3)^n)$ and

$$V^{r+\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}\right) \cup [-3, 3]^n \subset V^{r+\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial G_f}{\partial x_j}\right) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, n$.

If f is sufficiently C^1 -close to F , then H_f is a diffeomorphism. Since

$$\varphi^{-1} \circ G_f([-4, 4]^m \times z) \subset H_f(\mathcal{F})(\varphi^{-1} \circ G_f(w, z))$$

for any $(w, z) \in [-4, 4]^n$, we have

$$U^{r+\alpha}(H_f(\mathcal{F})) \cap H_f(U) = \varphi^{-1} \circ G_f \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n V^{r+\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial G_f}{\partial x_j}\right) \right). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In particular,

$$U^{r+\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \cap U = \varphi^{-1} \circ G_F \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n V^{r+\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} \right) \right) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

since $G_F(x, y) = (x, F(x, y))$ and H_F is the identity map.

There exists a C^r -neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of F such that H_f is a diffeomorphism in $\mathcal{U}$, $V_0 \subset H_f(U^{r+\alpha}(\mathcal{F}))$, and $[-1, 1]^n \subset G_f((-3, 3)^2)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{V}$. By the relations (A.3), (A.4), (A.5), and (A.6), the diffeomorphism H_f satisfies the required condition (A.2) for any C^∞ map $f \in \mathcal{V}$. $\square$

Now, we prove Theorem 2.1. Fix a family $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of $(\mathcal{F}, 1/2)$ -adapted coordinates so that $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^{-1}([-1, 1]^n) = M$. Take a C^r neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the identity map on M so that φ_i is $(H(\mathcal{F}), 1)$ -adapted for any $H \in \mathcal{U}$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof is by induction of i . By Lemma A.1, there exists $H_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\varphi_1^{-1}([-1, 1]^n) \subset U^{r+\alpha}(H_1(\mathcal{F}))$. Suppose that there exists $H_i \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^i \varphi_j^{-1}([-1, 1]^n) \subset U^{r+\alpha}(H_i(\mathcal{F}))$ for some $i \leq k-1$. Applying Lemma A.1 to φ_{i+1} and $\bigcup_{j=1}^i \varphi_j^{-1}([-1, 1]^n)$, we obtain a diffeomorphism $H_{i+1} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i+1} \varphi_j^{-1}([-1, 1]^n) \subset U^{r+\alpha}(H_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}))$. It completes the induction.

References

- [1] A.Arbiato, C.Matheus, A pasting lemma and some applications for conservative systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **27** (2007), no. 5, 1399–1417.
- [2] T.Barbot, Géométrie transverse des flots d’Anosov. Thèse, Université de Lyon I, 1992.
- [3] R.Bowen, Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **470**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [4] E. E. Cawley, The Teichmüller space of an Anosov diffeomorphism of T^2 . *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), no. 2, 351–376.
- [5] C.I.Doeling, Persistently transitive vector fields on three-dimensional manifolds. *Dynamical systems and bifurcation theory (Rio de Janeiro, 1985)*, 59–89, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., **160**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1987.
- [6] J.Franks, R.Williams, Anomalous Anosov flows. *Global theory of dynamical systems (Proc. Internat. Conf., Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill., 1979)*, pp. 158–174, Lecture Notes in Math., **819**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

- [7] E.Ghys, Codimension one Anosov flows and suspensions. *Dynamical systems, Valparaiso 1986*, 59–72, Lecture Notes in Math., 1331, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [8] D.Hart, On the smoothness of generators. *Topology* **22** (1983), no. 3, 357–363.
- [9] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 54. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [10] Livšic, A. N., Certain properties of the homology of Y -systems. *Math. Notes* **10** (1971), 758–763. (translated from *Mat. Zametki* **10** (1971), 555–564)
- [11] A.N.Livšic and Ja.G.Sinaĭ, Invariant measures that are compatible with smoothness for transitive C -systems. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **207** (1972), 1039–1041.
- [12] R. de la Llave, J.M. Marco, and R.Moriyón, Canonical perturbation theory of Anosov systems and regularity results for the Livšic cohomology equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **123** (1986), no. 3, 537–611.
- [13] M.Ratner, Markov partitions for Anosov flows on n -dimensional manifolds. *Israel J. Math.* **15** (1973), 92–114.
- [14] M. Shub, *Global stability of dynamical systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1986.
- [15] S.N.Simić, Volume preserving codimension one Anosov flows in dimensions greater than three are suspensions. preprint, arXiv:math.DS/058024, 2005.
- [16] A.Verjovsky, Codimension one Anosov flows. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2)* **19** (1974), no. 2, 49–77.